

A Reprise on the Closed Geodesics Problem

John McCleary

Vassar College

1 November 2013

Given a Riemannian manifold M that is compact and closed, how many closed geodesics lie on M ?

Given a Riemannian manifold M that is compact and closed, how many closed geodesics lie on M ?

This is a problem for algebraic topology from the beginning: Consider the fundamental groups of oriented surfaces. In the case that the genus is greater than zero, the fundamental group is infinite, and in each homotopy class, there is a closed geodesic.

Let \mathbb{F} denote a field.

The Gromoll-Meyer Theorem (1967). *If M is a compact, closed, manifold of dimension ≥ 2 , and the set*

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_i(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded, then infinitely many closed geodesics lie on M in any Riemannian metric.

There is a fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega M & \longrightarrow & \Lambda M \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ & & M \end{array}$$

There is a fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega M & \longrightarrow & \Lambda M \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ & & M \end{array}$$

Hence there is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence with $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H^q(\Omega M; \mathbb{F})$ and converging to $H^{p+q}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F})$.

There is a fibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega M & \longrightarrow & \Lambda M \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ & & M \end{array}$$

Hence there is a Leray-Serre spectral sequence with $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H^q(\Omega M; \mathbb{F})$ and converging to $H^{p+q}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F})$.

However, the path-loop fibration has the same E^2 -page and converges to \mathbb{F} . Hence, the target $H^*(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F})$ lies somewhere between $H^*(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H^*(\Omega M; \mathbb{F})$ and \mathbb{F} .

Theorem of Sullivan and Vigué-Poirrier (1974). *If X is a finite CW-complex and the cohomology algebra $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ requires at least two generators as an algebra, then the set $\{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_i(\Lambda M; \mathbb{Q}) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is unbounded.*

The Sullivan–Vigué–Poirrier theorem leaves out many manifolds.

A particular case: The Stiefel manifolds $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1})$.

The Sullivan–Vigué–Poirrier theorem leaves out many manifolds.

A particular case: The Stiefel manifolds $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1})$.

$H^*(V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(S^{4k-1}; \mathbb{Q})$. And so the the condition on number of algebra generators fails. However,

$H^*(V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong E(x_{2k}, y_{2k-1})$, and so the manifold satisfies having at least algebra generators over one field, \mathbb{F}_2 .

The Sullivan–Vigué–Poirrier theorem leaves out many manifolds.

A particular case: The Stiefel manifolds $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1})$.

$H^*(V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(S^{4k-1}; \mathbb{Q})$. And so the condition on number of algebra generators fails. However,

$H^*(V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong E(x_{2k}, y_{2k-1})$, and so the manifold satisfies having at least algebra generators over one field, \mathbb{F}_2 .

It is a theorem of Borel that $H_*(\Omega V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-2}]$ and so it is the case that

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} H_i(\Omega V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded.

Does this condition hold more generally, that is, suppose $H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ requires at least two generators as an algebra. Does it follow that

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded?

Does this condition hold more generally, that is, suppose $H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ requires at least two generators as an algebra. Does it follow that

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded?

This would be a minimal condition for the Leray-Serre spectral sequence to have any chance of computing enough homology of ΛM to apply the Gromoll-Meyer theorem.

Does this condition hold more generally, that is, suppose $H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ requires at least two generators as an algebra. Does it follow that

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded?

This would be a minimal condition for the Leray-Serre spectral sequence to have any chance of computing enough homology of ΛM to apply the Gromoll-Meyer theorem.

The answer is **YES**. And the result has several consequences.

There is another version of the fibration for the free loop space, first noticed perhaps by George Whitehead.

There is another version of the fibration for the free loop space, first noticed perhaps by George Whitehead.

There is a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda M & \longrightarrow & M^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow ev_0 \times ev_1 \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M \times M \end{array}$$

There is another version of the fibration for the free loop space, first noticed perhaps by George Whitehead.

There is a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Lambda M & \longrightarrow & M^I \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_0 \times \text{ev}_1 \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & M \times M
 \end{array}$$

Over a field, the E_2 -page of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong \text{Tor}_{H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)}^{p,q}(H^*(M), H^*(M)).$$

The action of $H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$ on $H^*(M)$ is given by a flip and the cup product. To the educated ring theorist, one recognizes

$$E_2 \cong HH_*(H^*(M), H^*(M))$$

the *Hochschild homology* of the cohomology ring of M .

The action of $H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$ on $H^*(M)$ is given by a flip and the cup product. To the educated ring theorist, one recognizes

$$E_2 \cong HH_*(H^*(M), H^*(M))$$

the *Hochschild homology* of the cohomology ring of M .

In the 1960's, Murray Gerstenhaber proved that $HH^*(A)$ enjoys extra structure: It is a graded commutative algebra and, $HH^{*+1}(A)$ is a graded Lie algebra, satisfying

$$[a, bc] = [a, b]c + (-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|} b[a, c].$$

The action of $H^*(M) \otimes H^*(M)$ on $H^*(M)$ is given by a flip and the cup product. To the educated ring theorist, one recognizes

$$E_2 \cong HH_*(H^*(M), H^*(M))$$

the *Hochschild homology* of the cohomology ring of M .

In the 1960's, Murray Gerstenhaber proved that $HH^*(A)$ enjoys extra structure: It is a graded commutative algebra and, $HH^{*+1}(A)$ is a graded Lie algebra, satisfying

$$[a, bc] = [a, b]c + (-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|} b[a, c].$$

In our case $H_*(\Lambda M; k) \cong HH^*(C^*(M; k), C^*(M; k))$. Hence, somehow there ought to be a product and Lie bracket on $H_*(\Lambda M; k)$.

Enter string topology!

Enter string topology!

Let M be of dimension d . Define the *string topology* of M to be

$$\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M) = H_{*+d}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}).$$

Enter string topology!

Let M be of dimension d . Define the *string topology* of M to be

$$\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M) = H_{*+d}(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}).$$

The idea is due to M. Chas and D. Sullivan: Suppose $\alpha: \Delta^p \rightarrow \Lambda M$ and $\beta: \Delta^q \rightarrow \Lambda M$ are singular simplices in ΛM . Take the composite

$$\Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow \Lambda M \times \Lambda M \xrightarrow{ev_1 \times ev_1} M \times M$$

and suppose that it is transverse to the diagonal.

At each point where $ev_1 \circ \alpha$ meets $ev_1 \circ \beta$ you have two loops at $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$. Form the loop product there. This gives a chain

$$\alpha \circ \beta \in C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M).$$

Theorem. *The chain map $C_p(\Lambda M) \otimes C_q(\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M)$ induces an associative, commutative algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$.*

Theorem. *The chain map $C_p(\Lambda M) \otimes C_q(\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M)$ induces an associative, commutative algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$.*

Is it a homotopy invariant?

Theorem. *The chain map $C_p(\Lambda M) \otimes C_q(\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M)$ induces an associative, commutative algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$.*

Is it a homotopy invariant?

Cohen-Jones: Let $-TM$ denote the virtual bundle giving the inverse of the tangent bundle to M in K-theory. Let M^{-TM} denote the associated Thom spectrum and $(\Lambda M)^{-TM} = ev_1^*(-TM)$.

Theorem. *The chain map $C_p(\Lambda M) \otimes C_q(\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M)$ induces an associative, commutative algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$.*

Is it a homotopy invariant?

Cohen-Jones: Let $-TM$ denote the virtual bundle giving the inverse of the tangent bundle to M in K-theory. Let M^{-TM} denote the associated Thom spectrum and $(\Lambda M)^{-TM} = ev_1^*(-TM)$.

1) $(\Lambda M)^{-TM}$ is a homotopy commutative ring spectrum with unit.

Theorem. *The chain map $C_p(\Lambda M) \otimes C_q(\Lambda M) \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{p+q-d}(\Lambda M)$ induces an associative, commutative algebra structure on $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$.*

Is it a homotopy invariant?

Cohen-Jones: Let $-TM$ denote the virtual bundle giving the inverse of the tangent bundle to M in K-theory. Let M^{-TM} denote the associated Thom spectrum and $(\Lambda M)^{-TM} = ev_1^*(-TM)$.

- 1) $(\Lambda M)^{-TM}$ is a homotopy commutative ring spectrum with unit.
- 2) The product on $(\Lambda M)^{-TM}$ realizes \circ after applying the Thom isomorphism

$$H_q((\Lambda M)^{-TM}) \cong H_{q+d}(\Lambda M) = \mathbb{H}_q(\Lambda M).$$

Theorem (Cohen-Jones-Yan). *If M is an oriented, simply-connected manifold, then there is a 2nd quadrant spectral sequence of algebras $\{E_{p,q}^r, d^r; p \leq 0, q \geq 0\}$ such that*

1) $E_{*,*}^r$ is a bigraded algebra with $d^r : E_{*,*}^r \rightarrow E_{*-r, *+r-1}^r$, a derivation for each $r \geq 1$.

2) The spectral sequence converges to $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$ as algebras.

3) For $m, n \geq 0$, $E_{-m,n}^2 \cong H^m(M; H_n(\Omega M))$ as algebras, with the product on $H^*(M)$ given by the cup product, and the product on $H_*(\Omega M)$ given by the Pontryagin product.

4) The spectral sequence is natural with respect to smooth maps.

A classical argument: Consider the CJY spectral sequence for $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Since $H_*(\Omega V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-2}]$, we can consider the differentials on each sub-polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[a_{2k-1}]$ and $\mathbb{F}_2[b_{2k-2}]$.

Notice that $d^r(x^2) = 0$ because the algebra is commutative and d^r is a derivation.

Thus, we can apply successive differentials that are zero on successive squares, and hence leave a polynomial algebra on a pair of generators of the form a^{2^j} and b^{2^k} . But a polynomial algebra on two generators has unbounded dimensions. Thus, so does $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}_2)$.

A classical argument: Consider the CJY spectral sequence for $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Since $H_*(\Omega V_2(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[a_{2k-1}, b_{2k-2}]$, we can consider the differentials on each sub-polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_2[a_{2k-1}]$ and $\mathbb{F}_2[b_{2k-2}]$.

Notice that $d^r(x^2) = 0$ because the algebra is commutative and d^r is a derivation.

Thus, we can apply successive differentials that are zero on successive squares, and hence leave a polynomial algebra on a pair of generators of the form a^{2^j} and b^{2^k} . But a polynomial algebra on two generators has unbounded dimensions. Thus, so does $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M; \mathbb{F}_2)$.

This argument generalizes depending on the structure of $H_*(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

There is the dichotomy of Felix, Halperin, Lemaire and Thomas:

There is the dichotomy of Felix, Halperin, Lemaire and Thomas:

A manifold M is *elliptic* mod p if there is an integer $N = N(p)$ and a constant $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_r(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \leq Cr^N, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

A manifold M is *hyperbolic* mod p if there is a constant $K > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \geq K^{\sqrt{n}}, \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

There is the dichotomy of Felix, Halperin, Lemaire and Thomas:

A manifold M is *elliptic* mod p if there is an integer $N = N(p)$ and a constant $C = C(p)$ such that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_r(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \leq Cr^N, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

A manifold M is *hyperbolic* mod p if there is a constant $K > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p) \geq K^{\sqrt{n}}, \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

Notice that a compact, oriented manifold M has finite LS-category and the homotopy type of a finite complex, which are assumptions for an elliptic space.

The elliptic case

Theorem (FHT 1991). *If M is an elliptic manifold, then $H_*(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an elliptic Hopf algebra, and so it is a finitely generated module over a central sub-Hopf algebra which is a polynomial algebra in finitely many indeterminates.*

The elliptic case

Theorem (FHT 1991). *If M is an elliptic manifold, then $H_*(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an elliptic Hopf algebra, and so it is a finitely generated module over a central sub-Hopf algebra which is a polynomial algebra in finitely many indeterminates.*

In fact, $\Gamma = H_*(\Omega M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ being elliptic may be written as a K -module as $K \otimes G//K$ where K is polynomial and $G//K$ is finite dimensional. The growth of dimensions when $H^*(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ requires at least two algebra generators implies that K is a polynomial algebra on at least two generators, and hence, the classical argument produces the growth in $\mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda M)$ desired to deduce infinitely many closed geodesics.